

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|----------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/212,393 | 12/16/1998 | MASARU KUMAZAWA | 981488 | 8510 |
| 23850 | 7590 10/21/2002 | | | |
| ARMSTRONG,WESTERMAN & HATTORI, LLP 1725 K STREET, NW. SUITE 1000 | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | GRANT II, JEROME | |
| WASHINGTO | WASHINGTON, DC 20006 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2624 | 7 |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 10/21/2002 | φ |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | — | Application N. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| | · • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | 09/212,393 | KUMAZAWA, MASARU | | | |
| | Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | | Jerome Grant II | 2624 | | | |
| | - The MAILING DATE of this communication | | - T | | | |
| Period fo | • • | | | | | |
| THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, in period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by seeply received by the Office later than three months after the next patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply to the areply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) are poly will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS tatute, cause the application to become ABAND | pe timely filed) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| 1) | Responsive to communication(s) filed on | · | | | | |
| · 2a)⊠ | | This action is non-final. | | | | |
| 3)□ | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Dispositi | on of Claims | • | | | | |
| 4)⊠ | Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the applica | ition. | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| | 5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>19 and 20</u> is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| | 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3,5,7-12 and 14-18</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| 7)🖂 | 7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2, 4, 6 and 13</u> is/are objected to. | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar | nd/or election requirement. | | | | |
| | on Papers | · | | | | |
| 9)[| The specification is objected to by the Exam | niner. | | | | |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection t | | | | | |
| 11) 🔲 🛚 | The proposed drawing correction filed on | | | | | |
| | If approved, corrected drawings are required in | reply to this Office action. | | | | |
| 12)[] 7 | The oath or declaration is objected to by the | Examiner. | | | | |
| Priority u | nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | |
| 13)🛛 | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for | eign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | 9(a)-(d) or (f). | | | |
| a)[| ☑ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of: | | | | | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority docum | ents have been received. | | | | |
| | Certified copies of the priority docum | ents have been received in Applic | ation No | | | |
| | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the paper application from the International ee the attached detailed Office action for a | Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | • | | | |
| | cknowledgment is made of a claim for dom | | | | | |
| a) | ☐ The translation of the foreign language cknowledgment is made of a claim for dom | provisional application has been r | received. | | | |
| 1) Notice 2) Notice 3) Inform | e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(| 5) Notice of Inform | nary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) al Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | |
| .S. Patent and Tra PTO-326 (Rev | | Action Summary | Part of Paper No. 6 | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/212,393 Page 2

Art Unit: 2624

Detailed Action

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371© of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Claims 1, 3, 5, 7- 12 and 14-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Mizutani.

With respect to claim 1, Mizutani teaches a controller (including the combination of elements CPU 16, network section 17, bus 21, ROM 18 and RAM 19) that receives printing data for each page from a host (client at terminal 1 or 2); a data transfer unit CPU and bus line 21 for transferring the printing data to a printer (output engine 20) and controls the printer to print the printing data while monitoring states thereof characterized in that in comprises: an analyzing unit (3c) that analyzes the print data and manages the number of pages transferred to the printer and an error processing unit (3f) that passes error data and the number of pages of which printing have been completed to the host when an error in which data is not assured occurred n the printer.

Art Unit: 2624

With respect to claims 3 and 14, Mizutani teaches a storage unit RAM 14 that stores preset data of the printers to be connected to the controller 10. The analyzing circuit 3c and the error processing circuit 3f execute based on the data prestored in the RAM 14. See figure 2A and 3A.

Page 3

With respect to claims 5, 7 and 15, Mizutani teaches a CPU 10 in combination with RAM 14 for determining functions of the printer, see col. 6, lines 12-16. The analyzing circuit 3c and the error processing circuit 3f execute functions in accordance with the recognition circuit, described above.

With respect to claims 8, 9, 16 and 17, the preset data stored in RAM 14 from the network 4 which functions as the host. See col. 5, lines 40-42 and 50-55.

With respect to claims 10 and 18, Mizutani teaches that when the printer is incapable of transmitting a completion of the paper feed, do to reasons described at col. 9, lines 7-11, for example, the error processing unit 3f, along with the user analyzing the display screen 5 or 5', can estimate the number of pages which have been completed and the ones which need to be completed. See col. 9. The top portion of col. 10 addresses how the data is arranged, i.e., in block form according to the type of error as it occurs and will appear to the user on the display screen.

Application/Control Number: 09/212,393 Page 4

Art Unit: 2624

With respect to claim 11, Mizutani teaches a printing system comprising a host (user at the other end of network 4) comprising a controller (CPU 10, 16 in combination with unit 3) that receives printed data for each page transmitted from the host, the controller includes an analyzing unit 3c and and error processing unit 3f. The host transmits data which has not been completed after it has been determined where the error has occurred, as instructed by the error sending device 3g and identifying the sender of the job that contained the error via circuit 3i. Again, reprinting is executed when the host device is informed as to the location of where the error occurred in the printing operation.

With respect to claim 12, Mizutani teaches a recording medium readable by a computer (ROM 13) for enabling a computer to proceed the step of receiving printing data for each pate from a host, while a controller monitors the process: said program (bottom of col. 5) has a program for allowing the analyzing unit 3c to function as claimed and the error processing unit 3f to functions as claimed. See also col. 9 in its entirety which explains how data is sent from the host to the printer after an error has been detected.

Claims Objected as Containing Allowable Subject Matter

Art Unit: 2624

2. Claims 2, 4, 6 and 13 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims Allowed

Claims 19 and 20 are allowed.

Claims 19 and 20 are allowed for the reason the prior art does not teach or suggest in claimed combination, the limitation which is included in claim 2.

3. Examiner's Remarks

Applicant's remarks have been considered but are unpersuasive to allow the claims. Applicant argues at the bottom of page 4 that: "The Office, however, fails to recognize that the printer controllers of the present invention does not include a storage means for storing printed data."

Upon closer view, claim 1 does not recite that the print controls must not have storage means. In

Page 5

Page 6

Art Unit: 2624

other words, there is no condition that precludes the use of a reference which uses a print controller with a storage means when the claimed limitations does not specifically exclude such a limitation.

In the middle of page 5, applicant argues that the data transferring unit is not disclosed or taught by Mizutani.

The rejection of claim 1 has been rewritten to address the new limitation where the control means includes a transferring means for transferring data from the host to a print means.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office 4. action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Page 7

Art Unit: 2624

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR

1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however,

will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final

action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Jerome Grant II whose telephone number is (703) 305-4391. The examiner

can normally be reached on Mon. from 9:00 to 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

David Moore, can be reached on (703) 308-7452. The fax phone number for the organization

where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9314.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

J. Grant II

JEROMF GRANT II

Oct. 22, 2002